

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,780	02/04/2004	Anthony DiMaio	0095-UP-DIV-1	5178
7:	590 06/20/2005		EXAM	INER
CROMPTON CORPORATION 199 Benson Road			LEE, RIP A	
Middlebury, C		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			1713	

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/771,780	DIMAIO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rip A. Lee	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Apr</i>	il 27. 2005.				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	5		
closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 and 17-29 is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or 	re withdrawn from conside	ration.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Drity documents have been But (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/771,780 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 16-22, drawn to a lubricant composition, in the reply filed on April 27, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okamoto et al. (U.S. 5,629,398).

Okamoto et al. teaches cyclic olefin copolymers derived from a cyclic olefin and at least one alpha-olefin (see general disclosure, abstract, claim 7). The copolymer is used in a composition comprising lubricant (claim 16). In the general sense, this qualifies as "lubricant composition, and therefore, the subject matter of the parent claim is anticipated by fully by the prior art. Regarding the product by process limitation in claims 16, 17 and 20, it is well settled that where product by process claims are rejected over a prior art product that appears to be the same, the burden is shifted to the Applicant to establish an unobviousness difference, even if the production processes are different. Furthermore, the patentability of a product claim rests on the product formed, not on the method by which it was produced.²

¹ In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 798, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

² In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,780

Art Unit: 1713

Despite this, one gleans from the disclosure that the copolymers are prepared from metallocene based catalyst systems such as those derived from Me₂C(Cp)(Flu)ZrCl₂ and Ph₂C(Cp)(Flu)ZrMe₂ (column 7). The copolymer molecular weight is controlled readily by polymerization in the presence of hydrogen (col. 13, line 35). Ethylene/norbornene copolymers are exemplified, however, other alpha olefins may be used to make copolymers. These include 1-decene (col. 13, line 62).

Page 3

4. Claims 16-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Datta et al. (U.S. 5,981,643).

Datta et al. discloses a method of lowering the glass transition temperature $T_{\rm g}$ of ethylene/cyclic olefin (i.e., norbornene) copolymers to less than -40 °C by combining with process oils (claim 1). The resulting combination more than qualifies as "lubricant composition." Regarding the product by process limitation in claims 16, 17 and 20, it is well settled that the patentability of a product claim rests on the product formed, not on the method by which it was produced.²

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. These claims are describe the characteristics of certain embodiments of the present invention. In particular, the claims impose an upper limit of -20 °C on the glass transition temperature and an upper limit of 80,000 on the weight average molecular weight. The copolymer is also substantially amorphous. None of the cited references discloses all the features recited in claims 21 and 22.

بذا

Application/Control Number: 10/771,780

Art Unit: 1713

Information Disclosure Statement

Page 4

6. The information disclosure statement filed February 4, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR

1.98(a)(1), which requires the application number of the application in which the information

disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list. The information disclosure

statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not

been considered. Applicants may not submit signed information disclosure statements from the

parent case as the IDS for the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rip A. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-1104. The

examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be

reached at (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

ral

June 14, 2005

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER